FORM PTO-1390 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT (REV 10-2000)	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNIT	P/3610-12	
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (D	O/EO/US)	U.S. APPLICATION NO (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35		0//10/071
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. INTERNATIONAL FI PCT/FR99/02223 20 September 20 Septe		PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 21 September 1998
2 0 2 / 2 2 2 2 / 2	L COMPOSITION	
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Maurice C	HAZALET et a	1.
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected O		owing items and other information:
1.XX This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing un	der 35 U.S.C. 371.	
2. This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items	s concerning a filing under	35 U.S.C. 371.
3.XX This is an express request to promptly begin national exami	ination procedures (35 U.S	S.C. 371(f)).
4. The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from		Article 31).
5.XX A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U	S.C. 371(c)(2))	
a. is attached hereto (required only if not comm	nunicated by the Interna	tional Bureau).
b. A has been communicated by the International c. I is not required, as the application was filed it	Bureau.	iving Office (RO/US).
c. is not required, as the application was filed if 6. An English language translation of the International A	Application as filed (35)	U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. XX Amendments to the claims of the International Applic	ation under PCT Article	e 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
a. are attached hereto (required only if not com	municated by the Intern	ational Bureau).
b. have been communicated by the International	al Bureau.	
c. have not been made; however, the time limit	for making such amend	lments has NOT expired.
d. 🔀 have not been made and will not be made.		
8. An English language translation of the amendments t		
9. XX An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 3	371(c)(4)). – uns	signed
10. An English language translation of the annexes to the PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).		ry Examination Report under
Items 11 to 16 below concern document(s) or information i	ncluded:	
11. An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR		
12. An assignment document for recording. A separate c	over sheet in compliance	e with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. A FIRST preliminary amendment.		
A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendm	ent. EXPRESS 1	MAIL CERTIFICATE
14. A substitute specification.	I hereby cer	rtify that this correspondence is being
15. A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.	Mail Post Office to A EL6131129780	
16. Other items or information:	Asst. Commissioner	for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,
EFS print form.	on March 20	<u>, 2001                                   </u>
	Tamika Su	
		erson Mailing Correspondence
	Ham. 1	Ya Kumat
	Sig	gnature
	March 20	, 2001
	Date of Sig	

I
E ST
ij
<u>I</u>
IJ
æ
J
1
ü

U.S. APPLO TION NO (IF	7 K-13-37 FF 1.5) 3 1	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/FR99/02223		ATTORNEYS DOCK	
	· 0/ 0/ 4			CALCULATIONS	
	llowing fees are submitt				
BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)):  Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482)					
nor internation	onal search fee (37 CFR	1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO repared by the EPO or JPO	\$1000.00		
International	preliminary examination	a fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to out prepared by the EPO or JPO.	\$860,00		
I .		fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to US	4		:
1	search fee (37 CFR 1.44	(.)(-)) }	\$710.00		
but all claims	did not satisfy provision	n fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48 as of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)	\$690.00		
International and all claims	preliminary examination satisfied provisions of	n fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48 PCT Article 33(1)-(4)	32) \$100 <b>.</b> 30		
	ENTER APPR	OPRIATE BASIC FEE AN	MOUNT =	\$ 860.00	
	0.00 for furnishing the o earliest claimed priority	am or goommon men	0 30	\$	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total claims	18 - 20	<u> </u>	X \$18.00	S	<u> </u>
Independent claims	<u></u>		X \$80.00	S	<b>↓</b>
MULTIPLE DEP	ENDENT CLAIM(S) (if ap		+ \$270.00	\$	<del></del>
		L OF ABOVE CALCULA		s 860.00	<del> </del>
Applicant c		is. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees i	indicated above	\$	
		SUB	ΓOTAL =	<b>\$</b> 860.00	
Processing fee of months from the	\$130.00 for furnishing earliest claimed priority	the English translation later than date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).	☐20 ☐ 30 +	\$	
		TOTAL NATION	AL FEE =	\$ 860.00	
Fee for recording accompanied by	the enclosed assignment an appropriate cover she	at (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 pe	ent must be	\$	
		TOTAL FEES ENC		<b>s</b> 860.00	
				Amount to be refunded:	S
				charged:	\$
a. X A chec	k in the amount of \$_	860. to cover the abo	ve fees is enclosed	d. Check N	io. 3860
A dupli	b. Please charge my Deposit Account No in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees.  A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
c. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 15-0700. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.					
1.15/(a) 01 (b)	)) must be med and gre	med to restore me approactor	//	- 11 .	
SEND ALL CORRE	SPONDENCE TO:		Este.	rand! Mo	· lane to
OSTROLE	K, FABER, GERB	& SOFFEN, LLP	SIGNATU	TRE	
1180 Ave	enue of the A	mericas	-	ard A. Meil	lman
	c, NY 10036-8		NAME	aru A. Meri	LINULA
			NAME 24,	735	
Tel: (2)	12) 382 0700			ATION NUMBER	
			KEUISIK	A HON HOMBER	
į.					

In re Patent Application of Maurice CHAZALET et al

Date: March 20, 2001

Serial No.:

Group Art Unit:

Filed:

Examiner:

For: FUNGICIDAL COMPOSITIONS

Asst. Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

# AMENDMENT/SUBMISSION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Prior to examination, please amend the application as follows.

# FEE CALCULATION

Any additional fee required has been calculated as follows:
If checked, "Small Entity" status is claimed.

NO. CLAIMS

HIGHEST NO.

**AFTER** 

PREVIOUSLY

ADDIT.

	AMEND	AENT	Γ	PAID FOR	EX	TRA PRES	ENT	Γ	RATE	 FEE
TOTAL		18	MINUS	20	* =	(	00	Χ	(\$9 SE or \$18)	\$ 
INDEP.		2	MINUS	3	** =	(	0	Χ	(\$40 SE or \$80)	\$ 
FIRST P	RESENTA	COIT	OF MUL	TIPLE DEPE	NDENT (	CLAIM		X	(\$135 SE or \$270)	\$

<sup>\*</sup> not less than 20 \*\* not less than 3

TOTAL \$ -----

In the event the actual fee is greater than the payment submitted or is inadvertently not enclosed or if any additional fee during the prosecution of this application is not paid, the Patent Office is authorized to charge the underpayment to Deposit Account No. 15-0700.

1

# CONTINGENT EXTENSION REQUEST

If this communication is filed after the shortened statutory time period had elapsed and no separate Petition is enclosed, the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is petitioned, under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), to extend the time for filing a response to the outstanding Office Action by the number of months which will avoid abandonment under 37 C.F.R. §1.135. The fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 should be charged to our Deposit Account No. 15-0700.

### **AMENDMENTS**

- X If checked, amendment(s) to the specification and/or claims are submitted herewith.
- 1. \_\_\_ If checked, an abstract is submitted as the last page of Appendix A.

# 3. Claims:

Please amend claims 7-12, 14, 16 and 17 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(i) as set forth in the "clean" version attached hereto as Appendix A. Entry is respectfully requested. A version with markings to show the changes made pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(ii) is attached hereto as Appendix B.

2

\_\_\_\_ If checked, the optional complete set of "clean" claims pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(3) is attached hereto as Appendix C.

00500595.1

## REMARKS/ARGUMENT

This Preliminary Amendment is submitted to change the multiple dependent claims to single dependent claims in order to reduce the government filing fee.

### EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to Addressee (mail label #EL613112979US) in an envelope addressed to: Asst. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on March 20, 2001:

Tamika Sumpter

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature March 20, 2001

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Edward A. Meilman

Registration No.: 24,735

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

### APPENDIX A

# "CLEAN" VERSION OF EACH PARAGRAPH/SECTION/CLAIM 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(ii) AND (c)(i)

# CLAIMS (with indication of amended or new):

(Amended) 7. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that it comprises, in addition, another fungicidal active material, in particular iprodione.

(Amended) 8. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is chosen so as to produce a synergistic effect.

(Amended) 9. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is between 10 and 0.01, preferably between 5 and 0.5.

(Amended) 10. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is between 5 and 0.5.

(Amended) 11. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that it comprises, besides compounds (I) and (II), an agriculturally suitable inert support and optionally an agriculturally suitable surfactant.

(Amended) 12. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that it comprises from 0.5 to 99% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).

(Amended) 14. A process for curatively or preventively controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops, characterized in that an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of a fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1 is applied onto the vegetation to be treated.

(Amended) 16. The process as claimed in claim 14, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha.

4

(Amended) 17. The process as claimed in claim 14, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha.

### APPENDIX B

# VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(iii) AND (c)(ii)

# **CLAIMS:**

- 7. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 6] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that it comprises, in addition, another fungicidal active material, in particular iprodione.
- 8. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 7] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that the compound (II)/compound (II) ratio is chosen so as to produce a synergistic effect.
- 9. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 8] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that the compound (II)/compound (II) ratio is between 10 and 0.01, preferably between 5 and 0.5.
- 10. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 9] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that the compound (II)/compound (II) ratio is between 5 and 0.5.
- 11. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 10] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that it comprises, besides compounds (I) and (II), an agriculturally suitable inert support and optionally an agriculturally suitable surfactant.
- 12. The fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 11] <u>claim 1</u>, characterized in that it comprises from 0.5 to 99% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).
- 14. A process for curatively or preventively controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops, characterized in that an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of a fungicidal composition as claimed in [one of claims 1 to 12] <u>claim 1</u> is applied onto the vegetation to be treated.
- 16. The process as claimed in [either of claims 14 and 15] <u>claim 14</u>, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha.

17. The process as claimed in [one of claims 14 to 16] <u>claim 14</u>, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha.

# FUNGICIDAL COMPOSITIONS

The present invention relates to novel fungicidal compositions comprising a 2-imidazolin-5-one derivative and an amino acid amide derivative, which are intended in particular for protecting crops. The invention also relates to a process for protecting crops against fungal diseases.

Compounds derived from 2-imidazolin-5-ones

with fungicidal action are known, in particular from

European patent application EP 551,048, these compounds

making it possible to prevent the growth and

development of phytopathogenic fungi which attack or

are liable to attack crops.

International patent application WO 96/03044 also discloses a certain number of fungicidal compositions comprising a 2-imidazolin-5-one in combination with one or more fungicidal active materials.

Patent applications EP-A-0,775,696 and

20 EP-A-0,472,966 present novel compounds for fungicidal use which have an amino acid amide structure.

However, it is always desirable to improve the products which can be used by growers in order to control fungal diseases of crops, and in particular mildews.

It is also always desirable to reduce the doses of chemical products spread into the environment

to control fungal attacks on crops, in particular by reducing the application doses of the products.

Lastly, it is always desirable to increase the number of antifungal products available to growers in order for them to find, among these products, the one which is best suited to their specific use.

One aim of the invention is thus to provide a novel fungicidal composition which is useful for the problems outlined above.

Another aim of the invention is to propose a novel fungicidal composition which is useful in the preventive and curative treatment of fungal diseases, for example of Solanacea plants and of grapevine.

Another aim of the invention is to propose a novel fungicidal composition which is of improved efficacy against mildew and/or Septoria leaf blotch in Solanacea plants and grapevine.

Another aim of the invention is to propose a novel fungicidal composition which is of improved

20 efficacy against mildew and/or oidium and/or botrytis in grapevine.

It has now been found that these aims may be achieved, partly or totally, by means of the fungicidal compositions according to the present invention.

The subject of the present invention is thus, firstly, fungicidal compositions comprising a compound (I) of formula:

in which:

5

- M represents an oxygen or sulfur atom;
- n is an integer equal to 0 or 1:
- Y is a fluorine or chlorine atom or a methyl radical;

and a compound of formula (II):

10

$$RO - C - N - C - C - N - C + A$$

$$R'$$
(II)

in which:

- R and R', which are identical or different, are chosen, independently of each other,
   from a linear or branched alkyl radical containing from 1 to 6 carbon atoms,
  - A represents a group chosen from Al and A2 which have the respective formulae:

$$(A1)$$

$$(A2)$$

- X represents the hydrogen atom, a halogen atom chosen from chlorine, fluorine, bromine and lodine, a linear or branched alkyl radical containing from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, or a linear or branched alkoxy radical containing from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, and

- the asterisks represent asymmetric centers;
the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio being

10 between 50 and 0.01, preferably between 10 and 0.01 and
even more preferably between 5 and 0.5.

The preferred compounds of formula (II) for the fungicidal compositions according to the invention are such that the asymmetric carbon center of the amino acid gives it the L configuration.

It is clearly understood that all the possible configurations of compound (II), i.e. all the isomers formed by the various configurations of the two asymmetric carbons, are included in the field of the present invention.

It is clearly understood that said fungicidal compositions can include a single compound (I) or more than one such compound and/or a single compound (II) or more than one such compound, as well as another

-----

fungicidal compound, depending on the use for which they are intended.

The compositions according to the invention are advantageous for controlling, in particular, mildew and Septoria leaf blotch in crops, such as cucumber or pea, for example, in Solanacea plants, such as potato or tomato, as well as for controlling mildew in grapevine.

The compositions according to the invention

can also be used for controlling other phytopathogenic

diseases of crops which are well known to the person

skilled in the art who has at his or her disposal the

compounds of formula (I) and of formula (II).

Compound (I) is known, in particular, from patent application EP-A-0,629,616.

Compound (II), when A represents the group A1, and its use as a fungicide are described in particular in European patent application EP-A-0,775,696.

Compound (II), when A represents the group A2, and its use as a fungicide are described in particular in European patent application EP-A-0,472,996.

The compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is

25 defined as being the ratio of the weight of these 2

compounds. This is likewise the case for any ratio of 2

chemical compounds, mentioned hereinbelow in the

present text, insofar as a definition different from this ratio is not expressly indicated.

These compositions generally appreciably improve the respective and isolated action of compound 5 (I) and of compound (II) for a certain number of fungithat are particularly harmful in crops, in particular for Solanaceae, more particularly for mildew in Solanaceae plants, while at the same time retaining an absence of phytotoxicity toward these crops. This therefore results in an improvement in the spectrum of activity and a possibility of decreasing the respective dose of each active material used, the latter quality being particularly advantageous for readily appreciated

The fungicidal compositions according to the invention for which:

ecological reasons.

- compound (I) is the compound of formula (I) in which M is a sulfur atom and n is equal to 0, also known as (4-S)-4-methyl-2-methylth10-4-phenyl-1-
- 20 phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one, referred to hereinbelow
  as "Compound A", and
- compound (IIA) is the compound of formula

  (II) in which R represents the isopropyl radical, A represents the group (Al), X represents the fluorine

  25 atom placed in position 6 on the 2-benzothiazolyl radical, the amino acid has the L configuration and the asymmetric carbon borne by the 2-benzothiazolyl

15

radical, the R configuration, also known as  $N^1$ -[(R)-1-(6-fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]- $N^2$ -isopropoxycarbonyl-L-valinamide, referred to hereinbelow as "Compound B", or alternatively

- compound (IIB) is the compound of formula

(II) in which R represents the isopropyl radical, A represents the group (A2), X represents the methyl radical placed in position 4 on the phenyl radical, whether it is in the form of a racemate or mixtures of enantiomers and/or of diastereoisomers or in the form of a pure optical isomer, also known as isopropyl [2-methyl-1-(1-phenylethylcarbamoyl)-propyl]carbamate, referred to hereinbelow as "Compound C", are preferred.

A particularly advantageous form of compound (C) is the product commonly called Iprovalicarb.

In the compositions according to the invention, the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is advantageously chosen so as to produce a synergistic effect. The term synergistic effect is understood to refer in particular to that defined by Colby S.R. in an article entitled "Calculation of the synergistic and antagonistic responses of herbicide combinations" published in the journal Weeds, 1967, 15, p. 20-22. The latter article uses the formula:

E = X + Y - XY/100

in which E represents the expected percentage of inhibition of the disease for the combination of the

two fungicides at defined doses (for example equal to x and y respectively), X is the percentage of inhibition observed for the disease by the compound (I) at a defined dose (equal to x), Y is the percentage of inhibition observed for the disease by the compound (II) at a defined dose (equal to y). When the percentage of inhibition observed for the combination is greater than E, there is a synergistic effect.

The term "synergistic effect" also means the

10 effect defined by application of the Tammes method,

"Isoboles, a graphic representation of synergism in

pesticides" Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology,

70(1964), p. 73-80.

The compound (I)/compound (II) ratio ranges

indicated above do not in any way limit the scope of
the invention, but are, rather, mentioned as a guide, a
person skilled in the art being entirely capable of
carrying out additional tests to find other values of
the ratio of doses of these two compounds, for which a
synergistic effect is observed.

The compositions according to the invention, comprising compound (I) and compound (II), make it possible to observe entirely noteworthy synergistic properties.

According to one variant of the compositions according to the invention, the compound (I)/compound

(II) ratio is advantageously between 10 and 0.01, preferably between 5 and 0.2.

In general, the compositions according to the invention have shown good results when the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is between 5 and 1.

Another subject of the invention is compositions comprising one or more combinations according to the invention as described above.

The invention also comprises processes for

treating plants against phytopathogenic diseases,
characterized in that a combination of a compound of
formula (I) and a compound of formula (II) is applied.

It is also possible to apply a composition containing
the two active materials, or, either simultaneously or

successively so as to have the conjugated effect, two
compositions each containing one of the two active
materials.

These compositions cover not only compositions which are ready to be applied to the crop to be treated by means of a suitable device, such as a spraying device, but also commercial concentrated compositions which need to be diluted before they are applied onto the crop.

The present invention provides a method for controlling a large variety of phytopathogenic diseases of crops, in particular for controlling Septoria leaf

blotch and mildew. These diseases can be controlled by direct application to the leaves.

The present invention thus provides a process for curatively or preventively controlling the 5 phytopathogenic diseases of crops, which comprises treatment of said crop (for example by application or by administration) with an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of a combination as defined above. The expression "treatment of the crop" means an application 10 or administration of a fungicidal composition as described above onto the aerial parts of the crops or onto the soil in which they are growing and which are infested or liable to become infested with a phytopathogenic disease, such as mildew or Septoria 15 leaf blotch, for example. The expression "treatment of the crop" also means treatment of the reproduction products of the crop, such as the seeds or the tubers, for example.

The compositions described below are used in general for application onto growing vegetation, or onto areas in which crops are grown, or for the coating of or film-forming on the seeds.

Among the means which are suitable for applying the compounds of the invention, mention may be made of the use of powders, foliar sprays, granules, mists or foams, or alternatively means in the form of suspensions of finely divided or encapsulated

compositions; for the treatment of soils or roots with liquid imbibitions, powders, granules, fumes or foams; for application onto the plant seeds, the use, as agents for forming a film on or coating seeds, of powders or liquid broths.

The compounds or compositions according to the invention are, appropriately, applied to the vegetation and in particular to the leaves infested with the phytopathogenic fungi. Another method for applying the compounds or compositions according to the invention is to add a formulation containing the active material, with the irrigation water. This irrigation can be an irrigation using sprinklers.

The formulations which are suitable for the
applications of the compositions according to the
invention comprise formulations which are suitable for
use in the form, for example, of sprays, powders,
granules, mists, foams, emulsions or the like.

In practice, for controlling the

20 phytopathogenic diseases of crops, one method, for
example, consists in applying an effective amount of a
composition according to the invention onto the plants
or onto the medium in which they are growing. For such
a method, the active material is generally applied onto

25 the same area in which the infestation needs to be
controlled, at an effective dose of between about 5 g
and about 2 kg of active material per hectare of area

treated. Under ideal conditions, depending on the nature of the phytopathogenic fungus to be treated, a lower dose may offer adequate protection. Conversely, poor climatic conditions, resistance or other factors

- 5 may require higher doses of active material. The optimum dose usually depends on several factors, for example on the type of phytopathogenic fungus to be treated, on the type or level of development of the infested plant, on the density of vegetation, or
- alternatively on the method of application. More preferably, an effective dose of active material is between about 20 g/ha and about 1000 g/ha.

For their use in practice, the compositions according to the invention can be used alone and can also advantageously be used in compositions containing one or other of the active materials or alternatively both of them together, in combination or association with one or more other compatible components which are, for example, solid or liquid fillers or diluents,

- adjuvants, surfactants or equivalents, which are suitable for the desired use and which are acceptable for uses in agriculture. The compositions can be of any type known in the sector which are suitable for application onto all types of plantations or crops.
- These compositions, which can be prepared in any manner known in this sector, also form part of the invention.

The compositions can also contain ingredients of other types, such as protective colloids, adhesives, thickeners, thixotropic agents, penetrating agents, oils for spraying, stabilizers, preserving agents (in particular moldproofing agents), sequestering agents or the like, as well as other known active ingredients which have pesticidal properties (in particular fungicidal, insecticidal, acaricidal or nematicidal properties) or which have properties of regulating plant growth. More generally, the compounds used in the invention can be combined with any solid or liquid additives corresponding to the usual formulation techniques.

The effective working doses of the

combinations used in the invention can vary within wide

proportions, in particular depending on the nature of

the phytopathogenic fungi to be eliminated or the

degree of infestation, for example, of the plants with

these fungi.

In general, the compositions according to the invention usually contain from about 0.05% to about 99% (by weight) of one or more compositions according to the invention, from about 1% to about 95% of one or more solid or liquid fillers and, optionally, from about 0.1% to about 50% of one or more other compatible compounds, such as surfactants or the like.

In the present account, the term "filler"

means an organic or inorganic, natural or synthetic

component with which the active component is combined

to facilitate its application, for example, onto the

plants, the seeds or the soil. This filler is

consequently generally inert and it must be acceptable

(for example acceptable for agronomic uses, in

particular for treating plants).

The filler can be solid, for example clays, 10 natural or synthetic silicates, silica, resins, waxes, solid fertilizers (for example ammonium salts), natural soil minerals, such as kaolins, clays, talc, lime, quartz, attapulgite, montmorillonite, bentonite or diatomaceous earths, or synthetic minerals, such as 15 silica, alumina or silicates, in particular aluminum or magnesium silicates. The solid fillers which are suitable for granules are as follows: natural, crushed or broken rocks, such as calcite, marble, pumice, sepiolite or dolomite; synthetic granules of inorganic 20 or organic flours; granules of organic material such as sawdust, coconut shell, corn ear or envelope, or tobacco stem; kieselguhr, tricalcium phosphate, powdered cork or adsorbent carbon black; water-soluble polymers, resins, waxes; or solid fertilizers. Such 25 compositions can, if so desired, contain one or more

compatible agents such as wetting agents, dispersing

agents, emulsifiers or dyes which, when they are solid, can also act as diluents.

The fillers can also be liquid, for example: water, alcohols, in particular butanol or glycol, as 5 well as ethers or esters thereof, in particular methyl glycol acetate; ketones, in particular acetone, cyclohexanone, methyl ethyl ketone, methyl isobutyl ketone or isophorone; petroleum fractions such as paraffinic or aromatic hydrocarbons, in particular 10 xylenes or alkylnaphthalenes; mineral or plant oils; aliphatic chlorohydrocarbons, in particular trichloroethane or methylene chloride; aromatic chlorohydrocarbons, in particular chlorobenzenes; water-soluble or highly polar solvents such as 15 dimethylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, N,N-dimethylacetamide or N-methylpyrrolidone; N-octylpyrrolidone, liquefied gases; or the like, whether they are taken separately or as a mixture.

The surfactant can be an emulsifier, a

20 dispersing agent or a wetting agent, of ionic or
nonionic type or a mixture of these surfactants. Among
those surfactants which are used, for example, are
polyacrylic acid salts, lignosulfonic acid salts,
phenolsulfonic or naphthalenesulfonic acid salts,
phenolsulfonic or naphthalenesulfonic acid salts,

25 polycondensates of ethylene oxide with fatty alcohols
or fatty acids or fatty esters or fatty amines,
substituted phenols (in particular alkylphenols or

arylphenols), ester-salts of sulfosuccinic acid,
taurine derivatives (in particular alkyl taurates),
phosphoric esters of alcohols or of polycondensates of
ethylene oxide with phenols, fatty acid esters with

5 polyols, or sulfate, sulfonate or phosphate functional
derivatives of the compounds described above. The
presence of at least one surfactant is generally
essential when the active material and/or the inert
filler are insoluble or only sparingly soluble in water

10 and when the filler for said composition to be applied
is water.

The compositions according to the invention can also contain other additives such as adhesives or dyes. Adhesives such as carboxymethylcellulose, or natural or synthetic polymers in the form of powders, granules or matrices, such as gum arabic, latex, polyvinylpyrrolidone, polyvinyl alcohol or polyvinyl acetate, natural phospholipids, such as cephalins or lecithins, or synthetic phospholipids can be used in the formulations. It is possible to use dyes such as inorganic pigments, such as, for example: iron oxides, titanium oxides, Prussian blue; organic dyestuffs, such as those of the alizarin, azo or metal phthalocyanin type; or of trace elements such as iron, manganese,

The compositions containing the combinations of the invention, which are used to control the

phytopathogenic fungi of crops, can also contain stabilizers, other fungicidal agents, insecticides, acaricides, nematicides, anti-helminths or anti-coccidoses, bactericides, attractant or repellent agents or pheromones for arthropods or vertebrates, deodorizers, flavorings or dyes.

These stabilizers can be chosen for the purpose of improving the strength, the persistence, the safety, the spectrum of action on the phytopathogenic fungi of crops or to make the composition capable of accomplishing other useful functions for the areas treated.

By way of example, the compositions according to the invention may contain, in addition to a compound of formula (I) and a compound of formula (II), another active material possessing fungicidal properties.

Appropriately, the other fungicidal active material may be iprodione. Other fungicidal active materials may however be completely suitable without departing from the subject of the present invention.

Thus, when the other active material is iprodione, compositions which are completely appropriate are for example those containing compound (A), compound (B) and iprodione, or alternatively compound (A), compound (C) and iprodione.

For their use in agriculture, the combinations according to the invention are

25

consequently in the form of compositions which are in a variety of solid or liquid forms.

The solid forms of the compositions which can be used are pulverulent powders (with an amount of active material, combination according to the invention, ranging up to 99%), wettable powders or granules (including water-dispersible granules), and in particular those obtained by extrusion, compacting, impregnation on a filler or by granulation using a powder (the amount of active material, combination according to the invention, in these wettable granules or powders, being between about 0.5% and about 99%). The homogeneous or heterogeneous solid compositions containing a composition according to the invention, for example the granules, pellets, briquettes or capsules, can be used for treating stagnant or trickling waters over a relatively long period of time.

A similar effect can be obtained by using intermittent feeds or seepages of the water-dispersible concentrates as described later.

The liquid compositions comprise, for example, aqueous or non-aqueous solutions or suspensions (such as emulsifiable concentrates, emulsions, flowables, dispersions or solutions) or alternatively aerosols. The liquid compositions also comprise, in particular, emulsifiable concentrates, dispersions, emulsions, gels, flowables, aerosols,

wettable powders (or powders for spraying), dry

flowables or dry pastes as liquid composition forms or
as forms intended to form liquid compositions when they
are applied, such as, for example, aqueous sprays

(including those of low or ultra-low volume) or mists
or aerosols.

The liquid compositions, for example in the

form of soluble or emulsifiable concentrates, usually comprise from about 5 to about 95% by weight of active 10 material, whereas the ready-to-use emulsions or solutions themselves contain from about 0.01 to 20% of active material. In addition to the solvent, the soluble or emulsifiable concentrates can contain, when necessary, from about 2 to about 50% of suitable 15 additives, such as stabilizers, surfactants, penetrating agents, corrosion inhibitors, dyes or adhesives. Irrespective of their concentrations, the emulsions, which are particularly suitable for application onto plants, for example, can be obtained 20 from these concentrates by dilution with water. These compositions are included in the field of compositions which can be used in the present invention. The emulsions can cover the forms of water-in-oil or oilin-water type and they can be of thick consistency or 25 even in gel form.

All these aqueous dispersions or emulsions or mixtures for spraying can be applied, for example, to

vegetation by any suitable means, firstly by spraying, at doses which are generally from about 100 to about 1 200 liters of mixture to be sprayed per hectare, but can be higher or lower (for example of low or ultra-low volume), depending on the need or the application technique.

The concentrated suspensions, which can be applied by spraying, are prepared so as to be in the form of a fluid, stable product which does not sediment (in the case of fine grains), generally containing from about 10 to about 75% by weight of active material, from about 0.5 to about 30% of surfactants, from about 0.1 to about 10% of rheological agents, from about 0 to about 30% of suitable additives, such as antifoaming agents, corrosion inhibitors, stabilizers, penetrating agents, adhesives and, as filler, water or an organic liquid in which the active material is insoluble or only sparingly soluble. Organic solids or inorganic salts can be dissolved in the filler in order to 20 prevent any setting to a solid or to act as antifreeze for the water.

The wettable powders or soluble powders

(powder for spraying) are generally prepared so as to

contain from about 10 to about 100% by weight of active

25 material, from about 0 to about 90% of solid filler,

from about 0 to about 5% of a wetting agent, from about

0 to about 10% of a dispersant and, when necessary,

from about 0 to about 80% of one or more stabilizers and/or other additives, such as penetrating agents, adhesives, anti-caking agents, dyes and the like. In order to obtain these wettable powders, the active material(s) is (are) intimately mixed in a suitable mixer with other additional substances which can be impregnated onto a porous support and is (are) ground in a mill or other suitable device designed for this purpose. This gives wettable powders whose wettability and suspension quality are very advantageous. They can be in suspension in water to give any type of concentration desired and this suspension can advantageously be used in particular for application to the leaves of plants.

The "water-dispersible granules" (WG) and the soluble granules (SG) have compositions which are substantially similar to those of the wettable powders. They can be prepared by granulation of the formulations described for the wettable powders, either according to a so-called wet-route process (by means of contact between the finely ground active material with the inert filler and a small amount of water, for example 1 to 20% by weight, or with an aqueous solution of a binder or a dispersing agent, followed by drying and screening), or according to a so-called dry-route process (grinding followed by compacting and screening) like those obtained by extrusion.

The doses and concentrations of the compositions formulated can vary depending on the method of application or the nature of the compositions or depending on their use. In general, the formulated compositions usually contain from about 0.00001% to about 100%, more particularly from about 0.0005% to about 80%, by weight of at least one combination according to the invention, or of all of the active materials (i.e. a composition of the invention as a mixture with other pesticidal substances or stabilizers). In concrete terms, the compositions used and their working doses will be chosen so as to obtain the effect(s) desired by the farmer, horticulturalist, forester, any technical staff responsible for

The following formulations described in
Examples A to I illustrate formulations which can be
used in controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops,

which comprise, as active material, one or more
compositions according to the invention. The two-letter
codes given in brackets after the names of the types of
formulation are the international codes usually used
for denoting these formulations. The formulations

described in Examples A to I can each be diluted to
give a composition for spraying at suitable

concentrations for use in fields or on grapevines. The

other person qualified in this field.

general chemical descriptions of the components (for which all the following percentages are given on a weight basis) used in the formulations of Examples A to G and presented as examples below are as follows:

Commercial name	Chemical description
Igepal BC/10	Nonylphenol/ethylene oxide condensate
Soprophor BSU	Tristyrylphenol/ethylene oxide
	condensate
Arylan CA	70% weight/volume solution of calcium
	dodecylbenzenesulfonate
Solvesso 150	C <sub>10</sub> light aromatic solvent
Supragil WP	Alkyl naphthalenesulfonates
Darvan No2	Sodium lignosulfonate
Celite PF	Synthetic filler based on magnesium
	silicate
Sopropon T36	Sodium salts of polycarboxylic acids
Rhodopol 23	Xanthan gum polysaccharide
Bentone 38	Organic derivative of magnesium
	montmorillonite
Supragil MNS90	Condensate of alkyl
	naphthalenesulfonates
Rhodorsil	Silicone emulsion
Antifoam 432	
Aerosil	Microfine silicon dioxide

5

### EXAMPLE A:

A water-soluble concentrate (SL) is prepared with the following composition:

Active material 7%

Igepal BC/10 10%

Water 83%

The active material is added to a solution of Igepal BC/10 dissolved in an amount of N-methylpyrrolidone with heating and stirring until dissolved. The solution thus obtained is adjusted to the final volume by addition of the remaining solvent.

### EXAMPLE B:

An emulsifiable concentrate (EC) is prepared with the following composition:

15	Active material	25%	(max.)
	Soprophor BSU	10%	
	Arylan CA	5%	
	N-methylpyrrolidone	50%	
	Solvesso 150	10%	

The first three components are dissolved in the N-methylpyrrolidone; the Solvesso 150 is then added to adjust to the final volume.

### EXAMPLE C:

A wettable powder (WP) is prepared with the following composition:

Active	material	40%
Supragi	.l WP	2%

Supragil MNS90 5%
Celite PF 53%

The ingredients are mixed together and ground in a hammer mill until a powder whose particle size is less than 50 microns is obtained.

# EXAMPLE D:

A concentrated suspension formulation is prepared with the following composition:

	Active material	40.00%
. 10	IGEPAL BC/10	1.00%
	Sopropon T36	0.20%
	Propylene glycol	5.00%
	Rhodopol 23	0.15%
	Water	53.65%

The ingredients are intimately mixed and ground in a ball mill until an average particle size of less than 3 microns is obtained.

# EXAMPLE E:

A water-dispersible granule (WG) is prepared with the following composition:

Active materials	80%
Darvan No 2	12%
Supragil MNS90	8%
Supragil WP	2%

The ingredients are mixed together,
micronized in a fluid-energy mill and then granulated
in a rotary granulator by spraying with water (up to

10%). The granules thus obtained are dried in a fluidized-bed dryer in order to remove the excess water.

# EXAMPLE F:

A pulverulent powder (DP) is prepared with the following composition:

Active material

1 to 10%

Superfine talcum powder 99 to 90%

The ingredients are intimately mixed and then 10 ground until a fine powder is obtained.

### EXAMPLE G:

A wettable powder (WP) is prepared with the following composition:

	Active material	50%
15	Igepal BC/10	5%
	Aerosil	5%
	Celite PF	40%

The Igepal BC/10 is adsorbed onto the Aerosil which is then mixed with the other ingredients and ground in a hammer mill to give a wettable powder, which can be diluted with water down to a concentration of 0.001% to 2% by weight of active material and applied to an area infested with the phytopathogenic fungi of crops, which are to be destroyed by spraying.

25 The numerous formulations cited above are given by way of example and are not limited thereto.

The person skilled in the art will be able to assess

10

the use of the appropriate type of formulation for the specific problem he or she has to solve. In general, the formulations of WG type (water-dispersible granules) are particularly suitable for treatment 5 processes using the compositions according to the present invention.

The fungicidal compositions according to the invention usually contain from 0.5 to 95% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).

This may be the concentrated composition, that is to say the commercial product combining compound (I) and compound (II). It may also be the dilute composition ready to be applied to the crops to be treated. In the latter case, the dilution with water 15 may be carried out either using a commercial concentrated composition containing compound (I) and compound (II) (this mixture is referred to as the "ready-to-use" mixture or "ready mix"), or using the mixture prepared at the time of use (known as the "tank 20 mix") of two commercial concentrated compositions each containing compound (I) and compound (II).

Lastly, the subject of the invention is a process for curatively or preventively controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops, characterized in that 25 an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of a fungicidal composition according to the invention is applied onto the vegetation to be treated.

The phytopathogenic fung: of crops which may be combated by this process are, in particular, those:

- of the group of oomycetes:
- of the genus Phytophthora such as

  5 Phytophthora infestans (mildew of Solanaceae, in
  particular late blight of potato or tomato),
  - of the family of Peronosporaceae, in particular Plasmopara viticola (downy mildew of grapevine), Plasmopara halstedii (sunflower mildew),
- 10 Pseudoperonospora sp (in particular cucurbit mildew and downy mildew of hop), Bremia lactucae (mildew of lettuce), Peronospora tabacinae (downy mildew of tobacco) and Peronospora parasitica (downy mildew of cabbage), Peronospora viciae (downy mildew of pea) and Peronospora destructor (downy mildew of onion);
- of the group of adelomycetes:
  - of the genus Alternaria, for example Alternaria solani (early blight of Solanaceae and in particular of tomato and potato),
- of the genus Guignardia, in particular

  Guignardia bidwelli (black rot of grapevine),
  - of the genus Oidium, for example powdery mildew of grapevine (Uncinula necator), oidium of leguminous crops, for example Erysiphe polygoni
- 25 (powdery mildew of Cruciferae), Leveillula taurica,
  Erysiphe cichoracearum, Sphaerotheca fuligena (powdery
  mildew of cucurbits, of composites and of tomato),

Erysiphe communis (powdery mildew of beetroot and cabbage), Erysiphe pisi (powdery mildew of pea and alfalfa), Erysiphe polyphaga (powdery mildew of bean and cucumber mildew), Erysiphe umbelliferarum (powdery mildew of umbellifera, in particular of carrot), Sphaerotheca humuli (hop mildew);

- of the group of soil fungi:.
- of the genus Pythium sp.,
- of the genus Aphanomyces sp., in particular
- 10 Aphanomyces euteiches (white root rot in pea),
  Aphanomyces cochlioides (dry rot of beet).

The expression "are applied to the vegetation to be treated" is understood to mean, for the purposes of the present text, that the fungicidal compositions which form the subject of the invention may be applied by means of various treatment processes such as:

- spraying a liquid comprising one of said compositions onto the aerial parts of said vegetation,
  - dusting, incorporation of granules or
- 20 powders into the soil, watering around said vegetation and, in the case of trees, injection or sprinkling,
  - coating of or formation of a film on seeds of said vegetation using a broth comprising one of said compositions.
- 25 The spraying of a liquid onto the aerial parts of the crops to be treated is the preferred treatment process.

The expression "effective and non-phytotoxic amount" is understood to refer to an amount of composition according to the invention which is sufficient to allow the control or destruction of the fungi present or liable to appear on the crops, this amount entailing no symptoms of phytotoxicity for said crops. Such an amount is liable to vary within a wide range depending on the fungus to be combated, the type of crop, the climatic conditions and the nature of the compound (II) included in the fungicidal composition according to the invention. This amount may be determined by systematic field trials, which are within the capabilities of those skilled in the art.

Under the usual conditions of agricultural

15 practice, an amount of fungicidal composition according to the invention corresponding to a dose of compound

(I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha, generally gives good results.

According to the invention, the amount of

20 fungicidal composition advantageously corresponds to a

dose of compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha,

preferably between 20 and 300 g/ha.

The examples which follow are given purely for the purposes of illustrating the invention and do not limit it in any way.

Although the invention has been described in terms of numerous preferred variants, a person skilled

in the art will appreciate that many modifications, substitutions, omissions and changes can be made without departing from the spirit of this invention. Thus, it is clearly understood that the scope of the present invention is limited only by the scope of the following claims, as well as by their equivalents.

Example 1: Test of a composition against
downy mildew of grapevine (preventive action):

A composition comprising Compound A in the form of a concentrated suspension (SC) at a dose of 500 g/l and a composition comprising Compound B in the form of a wettable powder at a dose of 100 g/kg are used.

A broth containing Compound A at a dose of

15 125 g/l and Compound B at a dose of 37.5 g/l (Compound

A/Compound B ratio approximately equal to 3.33) is

prepared. This broth is diluted with water and applied

as a jet sprayed onto the aerial parts of grapevine

plants at a rate of 600 to 1000 l/ha depending on the

20 degree of infestation.

This combination was studied against Plasmopara viticola on grapevine. The experimental procedure is described below.

Grapevine plants (var. Gamay) at the pre25 blossom stage (separate floral buds) are treated with
the fungicidal compositions at the doses mentioned
above. An artificial contamination on the vines located

15

around the plots is carried out by inoculation with Plasmopara viticola two days after the treatment.

The treatment with the broth containing

Compound A and Compound B is repeated every 10 days.

grading is then carried out. This consists in visually estimating the frequency (i.e. the number expressed as a percentage) of bunches or leaves which bear mildew spots (i.e. which bear a recognizable sporulating infection with a whitish down) and then, by comparison with an untreated contaminated control, in defining the practical percentage of efficacy according to the following formula:

\* practical efficacy = 

100 × (control frequency - test frequency) control frequency

The theoretical efficacy according to the

Colby formula is calculated from the following formula:

(TE = theoretical efficacy; PE = practical efficacy)

%TE(A+B) = %PE(A) + %TE(B) - (%PE(A) × %PE(B) / 100)

In this test, the composition according to the invention showed a practical efficacy of 90.3%, whereas the theoretical efficacy, calculated by the Colby formula, gave a value of 75.0%.

This huge difference between practical efficacy and theoretical efficacy clearly shows a large synergistic effect between the two Compounds A and B.

Example 2: Test of a composition against
downv mildew of grapevine (curative action):

- 96

A composition comprising Compound A in the form of a concentrated suspension (SC) at a dose of 500 g/l and a composition comprising Compound B in the form of a wettable powder at a dose of 100 g/kg are 5 used.

A composition containing Compound A at a dose of 0.6 ppm and Compound B at a dose of 0.3 ppm (Compound A/Compound B ratio equal to 2) is prepared.

This combination was studied against

10 Plasmopara viticola on grapevine. The experimental procedure is described below.

Chardonnay) are inoculated by spraying the underside of the leaves with an aqueous suspension containing

15 100,000 Plasmopara viticola spores/ml of inoculum. The plants are then placed in a controlled-environment cabinet at 20°C, 100% RH (relative humidity) for 24 hours and are then treated with the fungicidal compositions at the doses mentioned above (3

20 repetitions/dose). They are then put back in the controlled-environment cabinet at 20°C, 100% RH (relative humidity) for a total of 6 days. Two gradings are then carried out (the first at 5 days and the second 1 day later). This consists in estimating the

(recognizable by the whitish down) and, by comparison

with an untreated contaminated control, in defining the percentage efficacy according to the following formula: 
% practical efficacy = \frac{100 \times (\frac{1}{2} \text{control contamination} - \frac{1}{2} \text{test contamination}}{\text{% control contamination}}

The theoretical efficacy according to the

5 Colby formula is calculated from the following formula:

(TE = theoretical efficacy; PE = practical efficacy)

%TE(A+B) = %PE(A) + %TE(B) - (%PE(A) × %PE(B) / 100)

The following results are obtained:

10

	Grading	
	S days after	6 days after
	treatment	treatment
Practical efficacy (PE %)	80	66.7
Theoretical efficacy (TE %)	52.8	39.3
Synergism (PE - TE)	27.2	27.4

Here also, strong synergism between compounds A and B is noted in this curative test on grapevine.

Example 3: Test of a composition against late

15 blight of potato (preventive action):

The same composition as that described in the two previous examples is used (Compound A in the form of a concentrated suspension (SC) at a dose of 500 g/l and Compound B in the form of a wettable powder at a dose of 100 g/kg).

A broth containing Compound A at a dose of 100 g/l and Compound B at a dose of 25 g/l (Compound A/Compound B ratio equal to 4) is prepared. This broth is diluted with water and applied by spraying the

This combination was studied against

Phytophthora infestans on potato. The experimental procedure is described below.

5 leaves at a rate of 450 liters of broth per hectare.

Potato plants (var. Up To Date) in rapid

growth and before blossom are treated with the fungicidal compositions at the doses mentioned above.

No artificial contamination is carried out, in order to leave the natural attack of the fungus Phytophthora infestans to develop.

The treatment with the broth containing

Compound A and Compound B is repeated approximately

every 7 days.

Six treatments are thus carried out and the gradings

are then carried out. These consist in visually

20 estimating the percentage of destruction of all the

vegetation (foliage and stalk) by the mildew and, by

comparison with an untreated contaminated control, in

then defining the percentage of practical efficacy as

described in the previous examples.

The following results are obtained:

In parallel, the theoretical efficacy according to the Colby formula is calculated.

(In this test the untreated (control) plants are 100% destroyed)

	Grading (days after treatment No. 6)		
	15 days	23 days	26 days
Practical	76.2	52.5	40
efficacy			
Theoretical	47.4	19.1	16.9
efficacy			
Synergism	28.8	33.4	23.1
(PE-TE)			

Here also, strong synergism between compounds

A and B is noted in this preventive test on potato.

Example 4: Test of a composition against late
blight of potato (curative action):

The same composition as that described in the three previous examples is used (Compound A in the form of a concentrated suspension (SC) at a dose of 500 g/l and Compound B in the form of a wettable powder at a dose of 100 g/kg).

A broth containing Compound A at a dose of 150 g/l and Compound B at a dose of 37.5 g/l (Compound A/Compound B ratio equal to 4) is prepared. This broth is diluted with water and applied by spraying the leaves at a rate of 1000 liters of broth per hectare.

This combination was studied against

Phytophthora infestans on potato. The experimental procedure is described below.

Potato plants (var. Sirtema) are taken

(10 plants per plot) and their foliage is inoculated
with an aqueous suspension of Phytophthora infestans
spores (35,000 spores/ml). The leaves are then bagged
to allow the growth of the fungus. After 20 hours, the
bags are removed and a single treatment with the

10 fungicidal compositions is carried out at the doses mentioned above.

Two gradings are then carried out 5 and 8 days after the treatment. These consist in visually estimating the percentage of destruction of all the vegetation

15 (foliage and stalk) by the mildew and, by comparison with an untreated contaminated control, in then defining the percentage of practical efficacy as described in the previous examples.

In parallel, the theoretical efficacy according to the Colby formula is calculated.

The following results are obtained:

	Grading (days after treatment)	
	5 days	8 days
Control	88.4	90.3
Practical efficacy	66.1	62.3
Theoretical efficacy	44	42.6

		`
Synergism (PE - TE)	22.1	19.7

This preventive test on potato once again shows the synergism of the compositions according to the present invention.

## CLAIMS

 A fungicidal composition comprising a compound (I) of formula:

5

in which:

- M represents an oxygen or sulfur atom;
- n is an integer equal to 0 or 1;

10

- Y is a fluorine or chlorine atom or a methyl radical;

and a compound of formula (II):

$$RO - \overset{O}{C} - \overset{H}{H} - \overset{O}{C} - \overset{C}{H} - \overset{C}{C} + \overset{C}{H} - \overset{C}{H} -$$

15

in which:

R and R', which are identical or different, are chosen, independently of each other, from a linear or branched alkyl radical containing from 1 to 5 carbon atoms.

- A represents a group chosen from Al and A2 which have the respective formulae:

$$(A1)$$
  $(A2)$ 

- 5 X represents a hydrogen atom, a halogen atom chosen from chlorine, fluorine, bromine and lodine, a linear or branched alkyl radical containing from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, or a linear or branched alkoxy radical containing from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, and the asterisks represent asymmetric centers;
  - the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio being between 50 and 0.01, preferably between 10 and 0.01 and even more preferably between 5 and 0.5.
- 2. The fungicidal composition as claimed in 15 claim 1, characterized in that compound (I) is (4-S)-4methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2imidazolin-5-one.
- 3. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that compound (II) is  $N^1-[(R)-1-(6-\text{fluoro-}2-\text{benzothiazolyl})\,\text{ethyl}]-N^2-\text{isopropoxy-carbonyl-L-valinamide}.$
- 4. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that compound (II) is isopropyl [2-methyl-1-(1-phenylethylcarbamoyl)propyl]
  25 carbamate, in the form of a racemate, mixtures of

7

enantiomers and/or of diastereoisomers or a pure optical isomer.

- 5. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that compound (I) is (4-S)-4
  5 methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2imidazolin-5-one and compound (II) is N¹-[(R)-1-(6fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]-N²-isopropoxycarbonyl-Lvalinamide.
- 6. The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that compound (I) is (4-\$)-4-methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one and compound (II) is isopropyl [2-methyl-1-(1-phenylethylcarbamoyl)propyl]carbamate, in the form of a racemate, mixtures of enantiomers and/or of diastereoisomers or a pure optical isomer.
  - 7. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 6, characterized in that it comprises, in addition, another fungicidal active material, in particular iprodione.
- 20 8. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 7, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is chosen so as to produce a synergistic effect.
- 9. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 8, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is between 10 and 0.01, preferably between 5 and 0.5.

- 10. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 9, characterized in that the compound (I)/compound (II) ratio is between 5 and 0.5.
- 11. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 10, characterized in that it comprises, besides compounds (I) and (II), an agriculturally suitable inert support and optionally an agriculturally suitable surfactant.
- 12. The fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims I to II, characterized in that it comprises from 0.5 to 99% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).
- 13. A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops in an area, which

  15 consists in applying a compound (I) and a compound (II) as defined in claim 1 to said area.
- 14. A process for curatively or preventively controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops, characterized in that an effective and non-phytotoxic 20 amount of a fungicidal composition as claimed in one of claims 1 to 12 is applied onto the vegetation to be treated.
- 15. A process as claimed in claim 14, characterized in that the fungicidal composition is applied by spraying a liquid onto the aerial parts of the crops to be treated.

- 16. The process as claimed in either of claims 14 and 15, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably 5 between 20 and 300 g/ha.
- 17. The process as claimed in one of claims
  14 to 16, characterized in that the amount of
  fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of
  compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha, preferably
  10 between 20 and 300 g/ha.
- 18. A product comprising a compound of formula (I) and a compound of formula (II) as a combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use in controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops in an area.

USA

44 9802C

UNIX STATES OF AMERICA

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

OFGS FILE NO. P/3610-12

As a below named inventor, I here verily believe that I am the original, fi subject mater which is claimed and fo	by declare that: my residence, post office a rst and sole inventor (if only one name is liver which a patent is sought on the invention	ddress and citizenship are as sta sted below) or a joint inventor (i entitled:	ted below next to my name; that I f plural inventors are named) of the
New fungicid	e compositions —		
was filed on 20 Septe application number PCT/I	nereto, unless the following box is checked:  as United Sta  FR99/02223 and w	ates patent Application Number	(if any).
amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose §1.56. I hereby claim priority benefits un States provisional application(s) listed date before that of the application on v		entability in accordance with Ti	tle 37, Code of Federal Regulations,
Prior Foreign or Provisional Applicati	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
France		21 September 3	
I hereby claim the benefit under T of each of the claims of this applicatio United States Code, §112, I acknowle Regulations, §1.56 which became ava application.	Itile 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States of the duty to disclose information which ilable between the filing date of the prior appropriate the prior appropriate of the prior appropriate the states of the prior appropriate the p	nited States application(s) listed application in the manner provis material to patentability as desplication and the national or PC	below and, insofar as the subject matter ded by the first paragraph of Title 35, fined in Title 37, Code of Federal T international filing date of this
UNITED STATES APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)		STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)
I hereby appoint customer no. 2352 OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP, and the members of the firm, Samuel H. Weiner - Reg. No. 18,510; Jerome M. Berliner - Reg. No. 18,653; Robert C. Faber - Reg. No. 24,322; Edward A. Meilman - Reg. No. 24,735; Steven I. Weisburd - Reg. No. 27,409; Max Moskowitz - Reg. No. 30,576; Stephen A. Soffen - Reg. No. 31,063; James A. Finder - Reg. No. 30,173; William O. Gray, III - Reg. No. 30,244; Louis C. Dujmich - Reg. No. 30,625, Douglas A. Miro - Reg. No. 31,643, and Michael J. Scheer - Reg. No. 34,425, as attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation to prosecute this application, to transact all business in the Patent & Trademark Office connected therewith and to receive all correspondence.  SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO: OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:			
I hereby declare that all statement		ue and that all statements made willful false statements and the l , and that such willful false state	on information and belief are believed like so made are punishable by fine or ements may jeopardize the validity of
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENT Maurice CHAZALET	1/1/2		Lo /03 / 2001
RESIDENCE (City and either State or F F-69480 ANSE, Fra			rry of citiženship ′ nce
POST OFFICE ADDRESS  Le Mont Lucenay,	F-69480 Anse, France	2	
Full name of second joint invent Ratrice DUVERT	TOR (IF ANY) INVENTOR'S SIGN	ATURE	Tarch 16, 2001
RESIDENCE (City and either State or Foreign Country)  F-69004 LYON, France  COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP France			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS 74, quai Gillet,	F-69004 Lyon, France		
FULL NAME OF THIRD JOINT INVENTO Jean-Marie GOUOT	OR (IF ANY) INVENTOR'S SIGN	ATURE	March 15, 2001
RESIDENCE (City and either State or F F-69450 SAINT CYR	Foreign Country)  AU MONT D'OR, France	- 11	TRY OF CITIZENSHIP LINCE
POST OFFICE ADDRESS 24, allee des Egl	antiers, F-69450 SA	INT CYR AU MONT	D'OR, France

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)		PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
		(uuy, monno, jou.,	<u>/</u>	YES NO
				YES NO
	•		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	YES NO
				YES NO
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the applic	ents made herein of my own knowledg it these statements were made with the it, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	and that such	DATE
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the applic	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.	18 of the United States Code a	and that such	it withth hase statements may
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the public states of FOURTH JOINT INVERSIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY	18 of the United States Code a	enti tilat suci	DATE (S-03-0)
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the applic	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  r Foreign Country)	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	COUNTRY	DATE
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the purity of the purity of the application of the purity of t	ent, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  r Foreign Country) France	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	COUNTRY	DATE (S-03-0)  OF CITIZENSHIP
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the purity of the application of the application of the property of the proper	entror, if any  entror, if any  r Foreign Country) France  Entry, F-69130 ECULLY	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	COUNTRY	DATE (S-03-0)  OF CITIZENSHIP
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the purity of the purity of the application of the purity of t	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title Cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  France  Ln, F-69130 ECULLY  TOR, IF ANY	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  R.T. Men  , France	country	DATE (5-03-0) (OF CITIZENSHIP t Britain
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the application of the policy of the application of the application of the policy of the application of the policy of the application of the policy of the application of the applic	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title Cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  France  Ln, F-69130 ECULLY  TOR, IF ANY	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  R.T. Men  , France	country	DATE (5-03-0) (OF CITIZENSHIP t Britain
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the application of the policy of the application of the application of the policy of the application of the policy of the application of the policy of the application of the application of the policy of the application	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country) France  Ln, F-69130 ECULLY TOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country)	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  R.T. Men  , France	country	DATE (5-03-0) (OF CITIZENSHIP t Britain
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the appli	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  France  In, F-69130 ECULLY  TOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country)  TOR, IF ANY	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  RT. Mer  , F'rance INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	country	DATE (5~03-0) (OF CITIZENSHIP t Britain  DATE  Y OF CITIZENSHIP
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the purity of the application of the application of the property of the proper	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  France  In, F-69130 ECULLY  TOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country)  TOR, IF ANY	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  RT. Mer  , F'rance INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	country	DATE  (5-03-0)  (OF CITIZENSHIP  T Britain  DATE  Y OF CITIZENSHIP
punishable by fine or imprisonmen jeopardize the validity of the application of the application of the policy of the application of the application of the policy of the application of	t, or both, under Section 1001 of Title cation or any patent issued thereon.  ENTOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country)  France  Ln, F-69130 ECULLY  TOR, IF ANY  Froreign Country)  Froreign Country)	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE  RT. Mer  , F'rance INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	country	DATE  (S-03-0)  (OF CITIZENSHIP  DATE  Y OF CITIZENSHIP

PATENT APPLICATION ASSIGNMENT - SOLE OR JOINT INVENTORS
EXECUTED OUTSIDE U.S.A.

OFGS FILE NO. P/3610-12

•	
	ET, Patrice DUVERT, Jean-Marie GOUOT and Richard
MERCER as assignor(s), have invented certaine New fungicide compositions	for
	ters Patent has been executed by me (us) of even
date herewith; and	
WHEREAS, AVENTIS CropScience SA	a, a corporation organized and existing under the
laws of France, located at 55, avenue Rene	<u> Cassin, F-69009 Lyon, France</u> , as assignee, is
<del>-</del>	interest in and to said invention and any Patent
that may be granted therefor.	
valuable consideration, the receipt of which hereby sell, assign and set over to said as the United States and all other countries application for Patent, all original, disapplications and patents applied for or grace countries, including all rights of priority rights for past infringement, and the Consultationized and requested to issue all paterns aid assignee herein, as assignee of the expectage of the expectage of the expectage and other applications for Patent or said assignee or its assigns, to communicate any interferences or other legal proceedings become involved, to sign all lawful papers everything necessary to assist assignee,	ion of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and h is hereby acknowledged, I (We), as assignor(s), signee the entire right, title and interest for is in and to said invention and the aforesaid visional, continuation, substitute or reissue nted therefor in the United States and all other by from the filing of said application, and all missioner of Patents and Trademarks is hereby ats on said inventions or resulting therefrom to native interest therein; and the undersigned for sentatives, heirs and assigns do hereby agree and execute and deliver all divisional, continuation, in said inventions and all assignments thereof to ate to said assignee or its representatives all aid inventions, whenever requested, to testify in in which any of said applications or patents may as make all rightful oaths, and to do generally its successors, assigns and nominees to obtain the United States and all other countries, the performance of the paid to the countries, the performance of the paid to the countries, the performance of the paid assignee.
Date: 20 03/2001	Maurice CHAZALET
Date: _{all 16th loop	
	Patrice DUVERT
Date: March 15 2001	1 gryung
Date.	Jean-Marie GOUOT
	,
Date:	R.T. Mere
	Richard MERCER

00496855.1

LEGALIZATION RECOMMENDED